

Patricia L. Peden, Esq. (SBN 206440)
ppeden@bwsllaw.com
BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORESENSEN, LLP
1999 Harrison Street, Suite 1650
Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: (510) 273.8780
Fax: (510) 839.9104

Christopher J. Lee (*Pro Hac Vice*)
cleee@leesheikh.com
David J. Sheikh (*Pro Hac Vice*)
dsheikh@leesheikh.com
Brian E. Haan (*Pro Hac Vice*)
bhaan@leesheikh.com
Ashley E. LaValley (*Pro Hac Vice*)
alavalley@leesheikh.com
Dragan Gjorgiev (*Pro Hac Vice*)
dgjorgiev@leesheikh.com
James D. Mitchell (*Pro Hac Vice*)
jtmitchell@leesheikh.com
LEE SHEIKH & HAAN LLC
125 South Clark Street, Suite 1175
Chicago, IL 60603
Phone: (312) 982-0070
Fax: (312) 982-0071

Attorneys for Plaintiff
MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:18-cv-05935-TLT

**DECLARATION OF JAMES D.
MITCHELL IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED
MOTION FOR AN EXPEDITED
CASE STATUS AND
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

Date: August 27, 2024 (or earlier as
ordered by the Court)
Time: 2:00 P.M.
Place: San Francisco Courthouse,
Courtroom 9
Judge: Hon. Trina L. Thompson

1 I, James D. Mitchell, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Lee Sheikh & Haan LLC, counsel for Plaintiff
3 MPH Technologies Oy (“MPH”). I am licensed to practice law in the State of Illinois. I have personal
4 knowledge of the matters stated herein and am competent to testify thereto. I submit this declaration in
5 support of Plaintiff’s Unopposed Motion for an Expedited Case Status and Management Conference.

6 2. On April 15, 2024, MPH emailed the Courtroom Deputy, while copying Apple, stating
7 that it believed the parties would benefit from, and requested, guidance from the Court on whether the
8 Court would issue an order directing the parties to submit the further joint case management status
9 report identified in the Court’s Standing Order for Patent Cases. Following the Apple’s response and
10 MPH’s reply email, the Courtroom Deputy informed both parties that the request had been “brought
11 [] to chambers’ attention and [was] awaiting a response/directive as how to proceed.” Attached hereto
12 as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the April 15–17, 2024 email chain between the Courtroom
13 Deputy and counsel for all parties.

14 3. On June 7, 2024, counsel for the parties spoke on the phone to discuss, among other
15 things, Apple’s willingness to stipulate to requesting that the Court set the case for further case
16 management, and whether Apple would stipulate to a expediting briefing and argument on a motion
17 for further case management. Apple stated during that phone call, and in a follow-up email on June 10,
18 2024, that it did not stipulate to MPH’s request for further case management. Apple confirmed in a
19 June 12, 2024 email that MPH may describe its Motion for a case management conference as
20 “unopposed” provided MPH included Apple’s position in the Motion. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is
21 a true and correct copy of the June 10–12, 2024 email chain between counsel for MPH and counsel for
22 Apple.

23 4. While MPH’s *Partially Agreed Motion for Leave to Amend Infringement Contentions*
24 has been pending, and since the Court’s claim construction ruling on January 3, 2024, MPH has largely
25 avoided conducting discovery on a number of issues with respect to the five (5) patents of the U.S.
26 Patent No. 8,346,949 family that may be impacted by the Court’s ruling on that motion.

27 5. Since the Court’s claim construction ruling on January 3, 2024 declaring the U.S. Patent
28 No. 7,937,581 (’581 patent) claims indefinite and, thus, invalid, MPH has not pursued, nor has Apple

1 allowed, discovery solely directed to potential infringement, validity, or damages relating to the '581
2 patent.

3 6. While MPH's *Partially Agreed Motion for Leave to Amend Infringement Contentions*,
4 ECF No. 103, and *Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's Finding That the '581 Patent Claims Are*
5 *Indefinite*, ECF No. 118, have been pending, MPH has vigorously pursued discovery on issues related
6 to the lone remaining patent in the case, U.S. Patent No. 8,037,302 ('302 patent).

7 7. The parties have been at a standstill in discovery with respect to many of the issues
8 addressed in the six (6) discovery dispute letter-motions (ECF Nos. 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133),
9 which largely relate to the '302 patent, currently pending before the Court for the months leading up to
10 the filing of the letter-motions in April 2024, and in the two (2) months that have followed.

11 8. The Court's rulings on the two substantive motions and six discovery dispute letter-
12 motions will potentially and substantially alter the substantive and procedural scope and nature of this
13 lawsuit, not to mention having a significant impact on the types and extents of fact and expert discovery
14 necessary for the parties' claims, defenses and counterclaims.

15 9. MPH believes that the resolution of these discovery disputes will be necessary for the
16 parties to complete not only the issues addressed in the motions themselves, but also other related
17 follow-up discovery matters.

18 10. MPH further believes that guidance from the Court on the status and expected timeline
19 for the resolution of these substantive and discovery issues is necessary to conduct meaningful and
20 timely discovery.

21 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Declaration
22 was executed this 13th day of June, 2024 in Chicago, Illinois.

23
24 /s/ James D. Mitchell
25 James D. Mitchell
26
27
28